APPLICATION NO. APPLICATION TYPEP16/V0635/FUL
FULL APPLICATION

REGISTERED 6.4.2016

PARISH WEST HANNEY WARD MEMBER(S) Matthew Barber

APPLICANT Beaumont Developments Ltd

SITE Land adjacent to Church Farm, West Hanney PROPOSAL The demolition of an existing building and the

erection of 11 no. dwellings with associated

outbuildings and access.

AMENDMENTS None

GRID REFERENCE 440751/192834 **OFFICER** Sarah Green

SUMMARY

- This is a full planning application for 11 dwellings on land adjacent to Church Farm in West Hanney. It is referred to planning committee due to the number of local resident objections.
- The main issues are lack of five year supply of housing, the impact upon the landscape, setting of listed buildings and conservation area, neighbour impact, highway impact, biodiversity, affordable housing, drainage.
- The proposal would have some environmental impact but this is considered to be limited. It would provide affordable housing in accordance with council policy and provides for biodiversity enhancements.
- The application is recommended for approval subject to the completion of a section 106 agreement.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This is a full planning application for 11 dwellings on land adjacent to Church Farm in West Hanney. It is referred to planning committee due to the number of local resident objections. There is also an alternative application for 8 units on the same site which is also to be considered by committee (application P16/V0637/FUL)
- 1.2 The site is approximately 1.3ha in size and is shown on the location <u>attached</u> at appendix 1. To the north and west of the site are residential properties and their gardens. To the east and south the site is enclosed by a hedge, beyond which are open fields. Two public rights of way run around the outside of the site.
- 1.3 Part of the access and a small area of the site is within the conservation area which is located to the west of the site. There is also a listed building to the north of the site and a number to the west of the site, most notably St James Church which is grade II* listed.

2.0 PROPOSAL

- 2.1 The proposal is for seven market houses and four affordable houses. There will be a single vehicular access to the site, through the existing Church Farm development, with two pedestrian footpaths to the public rights of ways to the east and south. It also includes a carport block for the two properties to the west of the application site.
- 2.2 Some minor amendments and additional information have been submitted during the application process. They include:

Vale of White Horse District Council - Committee Report - 27 July 2016

- Vehicle tracking and boundary treatments
- Available open space on site
- A planting plan
- Clarification of proposed use of carport opposite plot 5
- A phase 1 habitat survey and bat survey report
- A contaminated land report
- Amendments to the design of the affordable housing
- An affordable housing statement
- 2.3 Extracts of the plans are **attached** at appendix 2.

3.0 **SUMMARY OF CONSULTATIONS & REPRESENTATIONS**

Below is a summary of the responses received to application. A full copy of all the comments made can be viewed online at www.whitehorsedc.gov.uk.

3.1	West Hanney Parish Council	No objections – wish the following comments to be taken into account. There is a lack of facilities for affordable housing e.g. No public transport.
	Neighbours – Seven letters of objection	 Increase population of village; no concurrent investment in infrastructire Impacts on views of Church Tower and conservation area Impact on listed building to north, Noise and disturbance, No screening proposed to neighbouring properties Overlooking Increase in traffic; dangerous junction Impact on character of area Not enough infrastructure in village Drainage Over development No access to The Farmhouse from carport Not in keeping with village Existing development already caused damage to verges Too many applications in the villages Extends line of village
	Contaminated Land	No objection
	Conservation Officer	No objection, suggests conditions
	Countryside Officer	No objection, suggests conditions
	Forestry Team	No objection
	Drainage Engineer	No objection, suggests conditions
	Waste Team	No objection
	Housing Development	No objection

Vale of White Horse District Council - Committee Report - 27 July 2016

Oxfordshire County Council	Transport – No objection subject to conditions
	Archaeology – No objection subject to conditions
	Education No objection No contributions account
	Education – No objection. No contribuitons sought
	Donast. Na skiastica Na sastributions societ
	Property – No objection. No contributions sought
Thames Water	No objection

4.0 RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

4.1 P15/V2291/FUL - Withdrawn (18/12/2015)

Demolition of existing building. Erection of 5 no. dwellings with associated outbuildings and access.

Most recent applications on adjacent site at Church Farm:

P16/V0377/FUL - Approved (04/04/2016)

Variation of condition 2 of Planning Permission P15/V0657/FUL

P15/V0658/LB - Approved (23/07/2015)

Conversion of grade II listed stone barn to provide 1no. residential dwelling. Demolition of existing barn structures and erection of 5no. residential dwellings and associated amenity space, car parking and associated works.(as amended by drawing received 20 May 2015)

P15/V0657/FUL - Approved (23/07/2015)

Conversion of grade II listed stone barn to provide 1no. residential dwelling. Demolition of existing barn structures and erection of 5no. residential dwellings and associated amenity space, car parking and associated works.(Amended Access Detail received 20 May 2015 and additional parking spaces, highways ownership and visibility splays rec 15 June 2015)

5.0 POLICY & GUIDANCE

5.1 Vale of White Horse Local Plan 2011

This is the development plan for this area. The following policies relevant to this application were 'saved' by direction on 1 July 2009.

- DC1 Design
- DC5 Access
- DC6 Landscaping
- DC7 Waste Collection and Recycling
- DC8 The Provision of Infrastructure and Services
- DC9 The Impact of Development on Neighbouring Uses
- GS1 Developments in Existing Settlements
- GS2 Development in the Countryside
- H12 Development in the Smaller Villages
- H17 Affordable Housing
- HE1 Preservation and Enhancement: Implications for Development
- HE4 Development within setting of listed building
- HE10 Archeaology

5.2 Emerging Local Plan 2031 – Part 1

This is not currently adopted policy. Whilst the local plan has been through examination the full Inspector's report has not been received and objections to it remain unresolved. The emerging local plan policies therefore carry limited weight for decision making.

The relevant policies would be:-

Core Policy 1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development

Core Policy 2 Co-operation on unmet housing need for Oxfordshire

Core Policy 3 Settlement hierarchy

Core Policy 4 Meeting our housing needs

Core Policy 7 Providing supporting infrastructure and services

Core Policy 15Spatial strategy for South East Vale sub-area

Core Policy 22Housing mix

Core Policy 23Housing density

Core Policy 24Affordable housing

Core Policy 33Promoting sustainable transport and accessibility

Core Policy 35Promoting public transport, cycling and walking

Core Policy 37Design and local distinctiveness

Core Policy 39The historic environment

Core Policy 42Flood risk

Core Policy 44Landscape

Core Policy 46Conservation and improvement of biodiversity

5.3 Supplementary Planning Guidance

- Design Guide March 2015
- Affordable Housing July 2006

5.4 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) – March 2012

Paragraph 216 of the NPPF allows for weight to be given to relevant policies in emerging plans, unless other material considerations indicate otherwise, and only subject to the stage of preparation of the plan, the extent of unresolved objections and the degree of consistency of the relevant emerging policies with the NPPF.

Paragraph 47 of the NPPF expects local planning authorities to "use their evidence base to ensure that their Local Plan meets the full, objectively assessed needs for market and affordable housing in the housing market area"

Paragraph 49 states "Housing applications should be considered in the context of the presumption in favour of sustainable development. Relevant policies for the supply of housing should not be considered up-to-date if the local planning authority cannot demonstrate a five-year supply of deliverable housing sites".

Paragraph 55 states "To promote sustainable development in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities. For example, where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village may support services in a village nearby."

5.5 Planning Practice Guidance 2014 (PPG)

5.6 **Neighbourhood Plan**

An area designation has been made but to date no neighbourhood plan has not been submitted to the Council.

5.7 **Environmental Impact**

The proposal is not EIA development and there is no requirement under the Regulations to provide a screening opinion. It does not exceed 150 dwellings, the site area is under 5ha and is not within a 'sensitive area' as defined by the EIA regulations. Consequently the proposal is beneath the thresholds set in Schedule 2 of the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2011 (as amended).

5.8 Other Relevant Legislation

- Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas Act) 1990
- Community & Infrastructure Levy Legislation
- Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998
- Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006
- The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010
- Localism Act (including New Homes Bonus)

5.9 Human Rights Act

The provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998 have been taken into account in the processing of the application and the preparation of this report.

5.10 Equalities

In determining this planning application the Council has regard to its equalities obligations including its obligations under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010.

6.0 PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

- 6.1 The relevant planning considerations in the determination of this application are:
 - Principle of development
 - Location
 - Wider landscape and heritage setting impact
 - Design and layout
 - Amenity
 - Highway impact and public rights of way
 - Ecology and biodiversity
 - Housing mix and Affordable housing
 - Drainage and flooding
 - Section S106 Contributions

6.2 Principle of development

The Council's Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) has objectively assessed the need for housing in the district. The district's housing target is of at least 20,560 dwellings to 2031. Against this target the council currently does not have a five year housing supply and therefore its housing policies are out of date.

- 6.3 Paragraph 14 of the NPPF states that where relevant policies are out of date, permission should be granted unless any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the Framework taken as a whole, or specific policies in the Framework indicate development should be restricted.
- 6.4 The proposal is therefore acceptable in principle unless any adverse impacts would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. In order to judge whether a development is sustainable it must be assessed against the economic, social and environmental roles.

6.5 Location

Policy GS1 of the adopted Local Plan provides a strategy for locating development concentrated at the five major towns but with small scale development within the built up areas of villages provided that important areas of open land and their rural character are protected. In terms of a hierarchy for allocating development this strategy is consistent with the NPPF, as is the intention to protect the character of villages.

- 6.6 West Hanney is identified as a smaller village in the settlement hierarchy, where housing policy would normally seek to allow a limited number of houses within the built up area. This site is on the edge of the built up area and is contained within a defined existing natural field boundary which separates it from the wider open fields to the east and south.
- 6.7 The NPPF does not suggest that populations of settlements should be limited in some way. At paragraph 55 the NPPF sets out that to promote sustainable development in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities, for example where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village may support services in a village nearby. East Hanney is in close proximity to West Hanney and both villages share services and facilities. Officers consider the proposal would accord with this advice.

6.8 Wider landscape and heritage setting impact

The site is on the edge of the village and therefore the relatively low density of the proposal is considered to be appropriate. The site is contained with the existing hedge boundary and the hedge will be kept. A site analysis has been undertaken in the design and access statement. The buildings have been orientated to allow views westwards through the site towards the conservation area and the church. Ridgelines vary on the buildings and will be seen against the other buildings of Church Farm and West Hanney from the east and south. There will be substantial new planting within the development, as shown on the submitted planting plan which will help soften the development's impact. There will be some visual impact upon the landscape, however the existing field boundary to the site forms a substantial natural barrier to prevent development encroaching eastwards towards East Hanney. It will be important for this hedge to be maintained along this boundary. A condition is suggested to prevent the height of the hedge below that stated on the planting plan. The overall wider landscape impact is considered to be small and would not constitute 'significant' harm.

- 6.9 In terms of listed buildings, St James Church is a grade II* and situated approximately 70m to the west of the site. The Dower House and buildings on Church Farm are closer to the site to the west, and Lamb Cottage is located to the north along School Road. As mentioned above the buildings have been orientated to allow views through to the Church. The proposal has a relatively low density and its layout seeks to reflect a collection of agricultural buildings that would be found on the edge of rural settlements, in accordance with advice in the design guide. Whilst there would be some impact upon the setting of the listed buildings, the conservation officer supports the application. The proposal is not considered to harm to the setting of the listed buildings or the character or appearance of the conservation area.
- 6.10 The site lies on the edge of the historic core of the village. Church Farm is thought to be 17th Century and lidar surveys identify there were medieval cultivations across the application area. The county archaeologist has recommended an archaeological written scheme of investigation is submitted and a staged archaeological programme is carried out during construction. These can be conditioned.

6.11 **Design and layout**

The layout of the site has aimed to reflect an agricultural collection of barns and follows the approach on the adjacent Church Farm site, thorough which access to this site will be gained. Plots 5 and 10 reflect the 'farm house' architectural style, the affordable dwellings reflect the traditional cottage houses, and the rest will reflect 'agricultural barns'. The design is a contemporary interpretation of the traditional forms. Materials propose include stone, brickwork and timber boarding and both slate and tile for roofing. The internal boundary treatments would be a mixture of stone walls, brick walls and timber fencing. These materials are appropriate for the context of the site. The overall concept for the development reflects the guidance in the design guide at DG78.

6.12 The arrangement of the affordable housing has been amended following officer advice to better reflect the wider site. Although the conservation officer would prefer less rooflights on the buildings, officers consider that, given that these are new dwellings with a contemporary feel, the number of rooflights is not considered to be harmful to the overall scheme.

6.13 Amenity

Guidance on amenity is set out in the design guide. All the new dwellings would have sufficient garden space and there would informal open spaces within the site, which would equate to over 15% of its area. In addition there is the community woodland to the south of the site which will be accessible by the footpath link from the development.

- 6.14 The neighbouring properties to the west of the site would all be more than 21m away from the new dwellings, which is the minimum distance to ensure privacy. Therefore the development would not result in harmful overlooking or be over dominant to these neighbouring properties. Comments have been received about the boundary treatment along this boundary with the neighbour. Details of this can be requested by condition.
- 6.15 The closest distance between plot 1 and the rear of the neighbouring properties to the north would be approximately 38m, in excess of the 21m recommended on the design guide. To the rear of the listed building, Lamb Cottage, it would be nearly 44m. These distances are acceptable and would not cause harmful overlooking or dominance to these neighbouring properties. A new hedge is proposed along the rear of Valeside, Magdalen, Lamorna and Old Orchard, and the existing trees will be kept. The boundary treatment long the rear of Lamb Cottage has not been shown but this can required by condition to ensure it would have an acceptable impact on the neighbour and on the setting of the listed building.

6.16 Highway impact and public rights of way

The access to the site will be through the existing Church Farm development. The highways officer has no objection to this arrangement. Further to the highways officer's original comments, tracking plans have been submitted for the site as well as details of the boundary treatments for each plot. Sufficient parking is provided on each plot and two additional visitor parking areas, as requested by the highways officer are also provided to the south and the north of the site. The highways officer's comments have therefore been addressed and the proposal would not result in severe harm to highway safety, the relevant test in the NPPF.

- 6.17 Conditions relating the construction traffic management plan, travel information pack, and provision of parking are considered necessary and are suggested below.
- 6.18 Public rights of way run alongside the boundaries of the site. They are West Hanney Footpath 3 to the south and West Hanney Footpath 5 to the east. The proposal makes provision for connections to these which will help provide more connection through the

Vale of White Horse District Council - Committee Report - 27 July 2016

development. Given these lie outside of the site and beyond the existing hedgerow, the construction phase of the development should not negatively impact upon the use of these footpaths.

6.19 Ecology and biodiversity

The issues raised by the countryside officer have been addressed. A number of bat emergence and re-entry surveys have been carried out and no bats were observed roosting in the trees.

6.20 The development will result in the loss of eight fruit trees within the site. A new orchard/woodland of approximately 2,050m2 is to be created to the south of the site adjacent to the existing woodland, as compensation for the loss of biodiversity on the site. The area of land is within the applicant's ownership and can be conditioned to ensure it is provided as part of the development. Therefore officers consider the proposal will accord with section 11 of the NPPF on conserving and enhancing the natural environment.

6.21 Housing mix and Affordable housing

The development provides a mix of 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-bedroom properties. The market houses would be 4-, 5-, and 6-bedroom. The agent argues that the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) suggests the greatest demand is for family sized accommodation and the proposal provides for that. Whilst the market mix does not accord with that set out in the SHMA, this is considered to be outweighed by other factors such as the need for a lower density and a layout and design approach appropriate for this particular site.

6.22 The current local plan policy H17 requires 40% provision of affordable housing on sites of 5 or more houses in villages and the emerging local plan core policy 24 requires 35% provision on sites of three or more dwellings. The proposal will provide four affordable units (plots 1-4) which is 36% of the total. (40% provision would equate to 4.4 units) The breakdown is 3 x 2-bedroom units for affordable rent and 1x 3-bedroom unit for shared ownership. This number of units and the split is considered acceptable and will be secured by a section 106 agreement.

6.23 Drainage and flooding

The NPPF provides that development should not increase flood risk elsewhere and should be appropriately flood resilient and resistant (paragraph 103). A flood risk assessment (FRA) and drainage strategy dated March 2016 has accompanied the application and been reviewed by the drainage engineer. He has no objections to the proposals subject to conditions requiring fully detailed drainage schemes being submitted and approved prior to commencement.

6.24 Section S106 Contributions

The NPPF advises that planning obligations should only be sought where they meet all of the following tests (paragraph 204):

- Necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms;
- Directly related to the development; and
- Fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

6.25 Oxfordshire County Council contributions

The county council does not request any financial contributions from the development. This is due to pooling restrictions which limit the number of contributions that can be sought.

6.26 Vale of White Horse District contributions

The provision of the affordable housing will be secured through the section 106 agreement. Waste bin provision is also sought at £170 per property and monitoring fees of £538. These contributions would meet the above tests.

7.0 **CONCLUSION**

- 7.1 In view of the housing supply shortfall, the presumption in favour of sustainable development applies and permission should be granted unless "any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the polices in the Framework taken as a whole" (NPPF paragraph 14). Paragraph 7 of NPPF identifies three mutually dependant dimensions to sustainable development: it should fulfil an economic role, a social role and an environmental role.
- 7.2 The development would contribute an economic role in providing employment during the construction phase and investment from the new residents in the local and wider economy. In terms of its social role, the scheme would provide housing to meet the district's need, including affordable housing, and will help support local facilities and services.
- 7.3 There would be an environmental impact from the development. The localised landscape harm arising can be mitigated by additional planting within the site and the provision of a new orchard/woodland will compensate and enhance biodiversity in the area. Considerable importance and weight has been given to the setting of the conservation area and surrounding listed buildings. The impact upon the heritage assets is considered to be limited and would not be significantly harmful to them.
- 7.4 Officers consider that the limited harm of the scheme does not outweigh the benefits of the scheme and it would accord with paragraph 14 of the NPPF. The application is therefore recommended for approval subject to conditions and the completion of a section 106 agreement.

8.0 **RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that authority to grant planning permission is delegated to the head of planning subject to:

- 1. A section 106 agreement to secure district contributions and affordable housing; and
- 2. Conditions as follows:
 - 1. Commencement three years full planning permission.
 - 2. Approved plans.
 - 3. Slab levels to be submitted.
 - 4. Details of materials, including boundary walls and hard landscaping to be submitted.
 - 5. Landscaping/planting to be implementation.
 - 6. Retention of existing hedgerow.
 - 7. Tree protection to be implemented.
 - 8. Boundary details to north and west with neighbouring properties to be submitted.
 - 9. Wildlife protection- recommendations of habitat and bat survey implemented.
 - 10. Wildlife protection orchard creation scheme to be submitted.
 - 11. Archaeology preparation of written scheme of investigation.
 - 12. Archaeology implementation of scheme.

- 13. Access, parking, visitor parking, footpath links, turning to be implemented.
- 14. Bicycle storage to be implemented.
- 15. Construction traffic management plan to be submitted.
- 16. Travel information pack to be submitted.
- 17. Surface water drainage details to be submitted.
- 18. Foul drainage details to submitted.
- 19. Garage accommodation to be retained for parking at all times.

Author: Sarah Green

Email: sarah.green@southandvale.gov.uk